UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,033	10/09/2003	W. Bruce Culbertson	200315392-1	3187
<sup>22879</sup> HEWLETT PA	7590 12/17/200° CKARD COMPANY	7	EXAM	INER
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			JEAN, FRANTZ B	
	LINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	·		KU
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,033	CULBERTSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frantz B. Jean	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal material	·	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya action is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Burest See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in a  iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]  2) \[ \sum \text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)} \]		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number:

10/684,033 Art Unit: 2154

This office action is in response to applicants' arguments filed on 09/28/07. Claims 1-40 are still pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 15-33, and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boulanger et al. ("Boulanger") US patent number 6,583,808.

As per claims 1, 16 and 25, Boulanger teaches a method, computer-readable medium for clustering data in a virtual environment (col. 3 lines 33-45), comprising: determining a cluster of receiving nodes in said virtual environment (col. 3 lines 46-58), wherein each of said cluster of receiving nodes have associated values for at least one clustering parameter that as a set satisfies a test (col. 1 line 65 to col. 2 line 4; col. 2 lines 7-25); generating a common data stream based on said at least one clustering parameter (col. 5 lines 39-64); and sending said common data stream from a sending node to said cluster of receiving nodes (see fig 2-4; col. 5 line 39 to col. 6 line 9).

10/684,033

Art Unit: 2154

As per claims 2 and 26, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said generating a common data stream further comprises: generating a common video image stream as said common data stream of an object associated with said sending node using a new view synthesis technique, wherein said common video image stream is rendered from a common perspective in said virtual environment that is associated with said cluster of receiving nodes (col. 1 lines 29-50; synthesizing view; and col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 4).

As per claims 3 and 27, Boulanger teaches a method of claim 2, wherein said common perspective is calculated from an average of said at least one clustering parameter (col. 3 line 18-31).

As per claims 4 and 28, Boulanger teaches a method of claim 2, further comprising: generating a three-dimensional model of said object to which said new view synthesis technique is applied to generate said common video image stream (col. 1 lines 36-50; col. 3 lines 18-41).

As per claims 5 and 29, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 1, wherein data streams associated with said cluster of receiving nodes are substantially similar (col. 6 lines 53-62; col. 5 lines 39-64).

As per claims 6 and 30, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said sending

10/684,033

Art Unit: 2154

said common data stream further comprises: multicasting said common data stream from said sending node over a communication network to said cluster of receiving nodes to achieve communication network traffic efficiency (the data are multicasted or transferred among the participants col. 5 lines 39-64; col. 2 lines 26-40).

As per claims 7, 17 and 31, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said at least one clustering parameter comprises a view dependent clustering parameter that defines an associated perspective of a receiving node within said virtual environment, wherein each of said cluster of receiving nodes is spatially located in said virtual environment, such that their respective perspectives are similar resulting in said clustering parameter that is shared (col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 4; col. 1 lines 23-25).

As per claims 8, 18 and 32, Boulanger teaches a method of claim 1, wherein said at least one clustering parameter comprises a temporal clustering parameter, wherein each of said cluster of receiving nodes require substantially the same frame rate, such that their respective data quality requirements are similar (temporal clustering parameter is inherent in Boulanger because Boulanger discloses motion vector and pattern movement since more camera are provided around the participant, therefore more freedom of movement within the virtual space is permitted col. 3 lines 62-67).

As per claims 9, 20 and 33, Boulanger teaches a method of claim 1, wherein said at least one clustering parameter comprises a spatial clustering parameter, wherein each

· Application/Control Number:

10/684,033

Art Unit: 2154

of said cluster of receiving nodes require substantially the same resolution parameter value, such that their respective data resolution requirements are similar (col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 4; col. 1 lines 23-25).

As per claims 15 and 39, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said determining a cluster of receiving nodes further comprises: dynamically changing said test (col. 1 line 65 to col. 2 line 4; col. 2 lines 7-25) for determining said cluster of receiving nodes in said virtual environment in response to changing conditions for computational resources in a communication network supporting said virtual environment and said cluster of receiving nodes (col. 6 lines 10-40; col. 5 lines 19-26).

As per claim 19, Boulanger teaches wherein said frame rate is increased as said cluster of receiving nodes is located closer to said sending node in said virtual environment (col 3 lines 59-67; col. 4 lines 27-46).

As per claim 21, Boulanger teaches wherein said resolution is dependent on a value of importance said sending node is to a receiving node, such that higher values of importance are associated with higher resolution (col. 1 lines 20-50).

As per claim 22, Boulanger teaches the system of claim 16, wherein said virtual environment comprises an N-way virtual collaborative environment (col. 3 lines 18-45).

10/684,033 Art Unit: 2154

As per claims 40, Boulanger teaches a computer system comprising: a processor (222); and a computer readable memory coupled to said processor and containing program instructions that, when executed, implements a method for clustering data, comprising: determining a cluster of receiving nodes among a plurality of receiving nodes (col. 5 lines 32-38), wherein a plurality of varying data streams are generated by a sending node for all of said plurality of receiving nodes depending on an associated value of a parameter for all of said plurality of receiving nodes (col. 5 lines 39-64), and wherein each of said cluster of receiving nodes have associated values for said parameter that as a set satisfies a test such that data streams associated with said cluster of receiving nodes are substantially similar (col. 6 lines 53-62; col. 5 lines 39-64); generating a common data stream of a sending object associated with said sending node based on a representative value of said parameter (col. 5 lines 39-64); and sending said common data stream to said cluster of receiving nodes (see fig 2-4; col. 5 line 39 to col. 6 line 9).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14, 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boulanger in view of Elbaz et al. ("Elbaz") US patent number 6,757,005

10/684,033

Art Unit: 2154

As per claim 10-11 and 34-35, Boulanger discloses all the limitation of the claims except the steps of limiting resolution, increasing resolution parameter and decreasing resolution parameter. Those features are well known in the art multimedia and videoconference as evidenced by Elbaz col. 5 lines 34-51 and col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 4). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Elbaz resolution parameter with Boulanger's videoconferencing feature to facilitate bit-rate modification between original stream and output stream. One skill artisan at the time of the invention would be motivated to do so to achieve rate matching modification and to facilitate encoding of video signal (Elbaz col. 1 lines 44-60).

As per claims 12 and 36, Boulanger-Elbaz teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising: valuing an importance of said sending node based on whether a receiving node is gazing at a representation of said sending node in said virtual environment (col. 3 lines 46-67).

As per claims 13 and 37, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising: valuing an importance of said sending node based on how close to a center of a monitoring device associated with said receiving node is a representation of said sending node displayed (col. 2 lines 41-55; col. 4 lines 48-63; col. 5 lines 5-18).

As per claims 14 and 38, Boulanger teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising:

valuing an importance of said sending node based on whether said sending node is speaking (col. 4 lines 1-6).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 09/28/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Boulanger in combination with Elbaz fails to disclose determining a cluster of receiving nodes in said virtual environment, wherein each of said cluster of receiving nodes have associated values for at least one clustering parameter that as a set satisfies a test; based on the clustering parameter, a common data stream sent from a sending node to a cluster of receiving nodes.

Examiner disagrees with applicant's comments. Boulanger teaches the concept of determining a cluster of receiving nodes in said virtual environment, wherein each of said cluster of receiving nodes have associated values for at least one clustering parameter that as a set satisfies a test; based on the clustering parameter, a common data stream sent from a sending node to a cluster of receiving nodes (see col. 1 lines 29-50; synthesizing view; and col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 4, col. 3 lines 33-58, see fig 2-4; col. 5 line 39 to col. 6 line 9).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/684,033 Art Unit: 2154

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz B. Jean whose telephone number is 571-272-3937. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/684,033 Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frantz Jean

FRANTZ B. JEAN PRIMARY EXAMINER